Subjective and Objective Refraction After Monofocal Toric IOL Implantation And Alignement with an Empirical Method
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Why This Paper?

- Precise intraoperative toric IOL axis orientation:
  - May be haphazardous
  - Complicated
  - Time-consuming
  - Every degree of misalignment leads to residual astigmatism and sphere

- Limbal vessels pattern may be a precise referral structure for proper axis alignment.
Patient Evaluation

- Diagnostic exams: hunting for the axis
- Subjective refraction
- Corneal topography
- Aberrometry
- Scheimpflug tomography
- Accurate IOL calculation
Corneal Topography + Aberrometry

- **Subjective astigmatism:**
  - Corneal astigmatism (A/P)
  - Lens astigmatism
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Purpose of the study

- Evaluate:
  - subjective and objective refraction
  - topographic astigmatism (TA)
  - before and after implantation of toric aspheric monofocal IOL
  - aligned with an empirical method based on the limbal vessels pattern.
Materials and Methods

1. IOL Alignment

1. Preoperative identification of topographic axis of astigmatism
2. Slit-lamp identification and photograph of limbal vessels in correspondence of the most curve axis of astigmatism
3. Preoperative mark of $0^\circ - 180^\circ$ axis
4. Intraoperative detection of involved limbal vessel and IOL alignment
Materials and Methods

1. Thirty-six eyes (20 patients, mean age 64.35 ± 16.59)
2. 2.2 mm incision surgery
3. Toric aspheric monofocal IOL (Zeiss AT Torbi 409 MP)
4. Mean power: +16.33 D ± 7.57 D, -2.75 D ± 0.27 D cyl.
5. Preoperatively:
   1. Reference limbal vessels positioned in correspondence of the alignment axis recommended by the specific website software (Zeiss Z Calc) were photographed.
6. IOL axis orientation:
   1. Aligning the axis with reference limbal vessels
   2. Checking preoperative corneal topography astigmatism
7. Subjective refraction and TA were measured before and nine months after surgery.
Toric IOL

- Zeiss AT TorBi 709 M toric IOL
  1. Bitoric aspheric (prolate)
  2. Equally convex optic
  3. Hydrophilic acrylic, hydrophobic surface
- 1. UV filter
- 2. Square edge
- 3. 11 mm diameter
1. Mean preoperative subjective refraction: \(-2.29 \pm 3.63\) D sph with \(-2.19 \pm 0.55\) D cyl at \(64.44^\circ \pm 72.73^\circ\).

2. Mean TA: \(-1.79 \pm 0.39\) at \(118.88^\circ \pm 73.82^\circ\). Mean SIA was \(-0.20\) D.

3. Postoperatively (9 \(\pm\) 4 months), mean subjective refraction was \(-0.41 \pm 0.79\) D sph with \(-0.25 \pm 0.44\) D cyl at \(93.33^\circ \pm 45.09^\circ\).

4. Mean BSCVA and UCVA were \(-0.06\) LogMar and \(-0.02\) LogMar, respectively.

5. Mean TA was \(-1.87 \pm 0.46\) D at \(134.25^\circ \pm 63.90^\circ\).

6. Mean IOL axial orientation was at \(90.83^\circ \pm 38.40^\circ\).
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Conclusions

1. Patients receiving monofocal toric IOLs aligned through an empirical method reached optimal visual acuity.

2. Mean TA was not influenced by SIA.

3. Final refraction showed highly satisfactory correction of spherical and astigmatic defect.

(van Gaalen KW, J Cataract Refract Surg 2010)
But... is it all so easy?

Wrong belief no. 1: corneal astigmatism is stable throughout life
  - Corneal astigmatism in healthy subjects slowly changes from with-the-rule (WR) to against-the-rule (AR) with time.
  - -0.30 D in 10 years

  *(Hayashi K, Am J Ophthalmol 2011)*

Wrong belief no. 2: power of posterior corneal surface is not important
  - 0.50 D AR in with-the-rule corneas (WR)
  - 0.30 D AR in against-the-rule corneas (AR)

  *(Koch D, ASCRS pc)*
Thank you for your attention!